

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/646,633 | LIGHT ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Juliet C. Switzer | 1634 | |

All Participants:

(1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(2) Donald Zuhn.

Date of Interview: 28 October 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Potential rejection under 112 2nd

Claims discussed:

23-25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: afternoon

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed an amendment to overcome a 112 2nd issue in claims 23-25. Mr. Zuhn indicated that he would attempt to contact the client and return the examiner's phone call. The examiner indicated that she would act on the case early the next week if no agreement had been reached by that time.